Memorandum

Date:

October 22, 2010

To:

Assistant Commissioner, Staff

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Office of Internal Affairs

File No.:

006.14419.16483.Chapter17

Subject:

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL (OIG). CHAPTER 17,

SELF-INSPECTION CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

This memorandum is intended to serve as the written response to the Chapter 17, Officer Safety Inspection from Highway Patrol Guide 22.1, *Area Resources Management Guide*, completed on June 9, 2010.

FINDINGS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP:

Finding #1 – Agree. Three of 28 (11 percent) of the required uniformed staff have not received annual Physical Methods of Arrest (PMA) certification.

Office of Internal Affairs (OIA) will coordinate training with the Academy Officers Safety Training Unit to have the remaining required members in the unit fulfill the certification requirements of PMA by December 7, 2010. Due to the number of uniformed staff assigned to OIA, it may be beneficial for OIA to have a PMA instructor within its unit to expedite the certification process.

Finding #2 – Agree. Currently, there is no consistent means to follow-up to assure timely PMA recertification of officers and sergeants.

The OIA has assigned a training officer who is responsible for ensuring all employees assigned to OIA certify in PMA annually.

Finding #3 – Agree. 27 of 28 (96.5 percent) of the uniformed staff's safety equipment has not been inspected as required.

The OIA will inspect the remaining safety equipment by November 1, 2010.

Assistant Commissioner, Staff Page 2 July 22, 2010

Finding #4 – Agree. Currently there is no consistent means of follow-up to assure timely replacement of damaged equipment for officers and sergeants.

The OIA's training officer will email all of the members of OIA quarterly to ensure timely replacement of damaged equipment.

Finding #5 – Agree. One of 28 (4 percent) of the uniformed staff is not current on pistol shoots as required for administrative positions.

The OIA will coordinate training with the Academy Weapons Training Unit to enable all uniformed members to fulfill the firearms qualification requirements. All required pistol shoots will be completed in the time period required and the training records will be updated within the Employee Training Record System (ETRS) to reflect certifications. Due to the number of uniformed staff assigned to OIA, it may be beneficial for OIA to have a range master within its unit to assist the Academy Weapons Training Unit with quarterly shoots and the qualification process.

Finding #6 – Agree. 20 of 28 (71 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on shotgun shoots as required for administrative positions.

The OIA will coordinate training with the Academy Weapons Training Unit to enable all uniformed members to fulfill the shotgun qualification requirements. All required shotgun shoots will be completed in the time period required and the training records will be updated within ETRS to reflect certifications.

Finding #7 – Agree. 17 of 28 (61 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on the rifle shoots as required for administrative positions.

The OIA will coordinate training with the Academy Weapons Training Unit to enable all uniformed members to fulfill the rifle qualification requirements. All required rifle shoots will be completed in the time period required and the training records will be updated within ETRS to reflect certifications.

Finding #8 – Agree. Five of ten (50 percent) of uniformed staff's CHP 415, *Daily Field Record*, forms do not indicate the activity as "training" during weapons training exercises.

The OIA personnel will be instructed to indicate activity as "training" during all training exercises on their CHP 415. This instruction will be addressed at the Area training days and via email.

Assistant Commissioner, Staff Page 3 July 22, 2010

OBSERVATIONS FOLLOW-UP

It was observed 45 of 96 (47 percent) of the CHP 100, Officer's Evaluation/Activity Summary, forms and six of eight (75 percent) of the CHP 118, Performance Appraisal – Officer, forms reviewed did not contain comments pertaining to officer safety.

The OIA supervision and management will be instructed to provide comments regarding "officer safety" on CHP 100 and 118 forms. This instruction will be addressed at the Area training days and via email.

If you need further information, please contact me or Lieutenant Marc Stokes at (916)843-3060.

E. J. BARKLEY, Captain

Commander

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 8

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Internal	Office of Inspector	17
Affairs	General	
Inspected by: Sgt. J. I	Date:	
J. Penney, #15457	06/15/10	

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.

TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:	☑ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required:		rd to: n E. Sanchez ate: 07/12/10			
Chapter Inspection: Sevente	en-Offi	cer Safety			

On June 15, 2010, personnel from the Office of Assistant Commissioner Inspector General (ACIG), conducted a self-inspection of the Office of Internal Affairs (OIA), formerly known as the Office of Investigations (OINV), in accordance with Chapter 17 of Highway Patrol Guide (HPG) 22.1. The inspection consisted of examining training and equipment records of the uniformed staff at OIA. The CHP 453S, Area Management Evaluation Officer Safety, form was utilized while conducting the inspection and is attached to this exceptions document. It is helpful to note that the CHP 453S is written primarily to assess field commands and therefore some of the criteria for Chapter 17 did not apply as OIA performs administrative functions as its primary mission. The following inspectors worked the corresponding hours as indicated below:

Inspector	Number of Hours	
Sergeant Jeremy Linson, #16483	6	
Officer Jerry Penney, #15457	4	
Officer Veronica Gonzalez, #13191	8	
Total Hours	18	

FINDINGS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP

- 1. Three of 28 (11 percent) of the required uniformed staff have not received annual Physical Methods of Arrest (PMA) certification.
- 2. Currently, there is no consistent means of follow-up to assure timely PMA recertification of officers and sergeants.
- 3. Twenty seven of 28 (96.5 percent) of the uniformed staff's safety equipment has not been inspected as required.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 8

Office of Internal Office of Inspector General

Inspected by: Sgt. J. Linson, #16483, Officers
J. Penney, #15457 and V. Gonzalez, #13191

Office of Inspector 17

General Date: 06/15/10

Chapter:

Division:

4. Currently, there is no consistent means of follow-up to assure timely replacement of damaged equipment for officers and sergeants.

Command:

- 5. One of 28 (4 percent) of the uniformed staff is not current on pistol shoots as required for administrative positions.
- 6. Twenty of 28 (71 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on shotgun shoots as required for administrative positions.
- 7. Seventeen of 28 (61 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on rifle shoots as required for administrative positions.
- 8. Five of ten (50 percent) of uniformed staff's CHP 415, Daily Field Record, forms do not indicate the activity as "training" during weapons training exercises.

COMMAND INVOLVEMENT

Objective:

Ascertain the level of command involvement through review of the officer's CHP 100,
 Officer's Evaluation / Activity Summary, and CHP 118, Performance Appraisal-Officer,
 forms as recommend per Chapter 17 of HPG 22.1; as well as attendance or involvement of
 management during officer safety training sessions.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

- While the personnel from OIA are not primarily involved in enforcement activity, the command actively participates and seeks training opportunities which will enhance its personnel's safety.
- Overall, OIA personnel diligently attend quarterly decentralized training and annual PMA refresher and certification training.
- Forty five of 96 (47 percent) of the CHP 100 forms reviewed did not contain comments on officer safety.
- Six of eight (75 percent) of the CHP 118 forms reviewed did not contain comments on officer safety.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 8

Command: Office of Internal Affairs	Division: Office of Inspector General	Chapter:
	inson, #16483, Officers and V. Gonzalez, #13191	Date: 06/15/10

TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION

Objective:

 Review of the Employee Training Record System (ETRS) as well as hard copy of records to ascertain if training requirements and certification are being maintained for uniformed personnel, as required. Additionally, ascertain if there are follow-up procedures in place to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants.

Findings:

- Three of 28 (11 percent) of the required uniformed staff have not received annual PMA certification.
- Currently, there is no consistent means of follow-up to assure timely PMA recertification of officers and sergeants.

Observations:

- All of the instruction regarding officer safety is provided by the Academy.
- OIA is currently working with the Academy Enforcement Tactics Training Unit to schedule mutually convenient times to have remaining required members of the unit certify in PMA.
- The training records indicate formal PMA training is being received annually.
- OIA has one ETRS data entry officer overseeing the maintenance of the Analysis Unit's training records. The Workers Compensation Fraud and Investigations Units have an ETRS data entry sergeant maintaining the training records for their respective units.

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Objective:

 Assure that all safety equipment is being worn as required by policy, inspected annually, and replaced in a timely manner as necessary. Equipment inspection information is obtained by reviewing ETRS generated CHP 311, Annual Safety / Protective Equipment Inspection, forms.

Findings:

• Twenty seven of 28 (96.5 percent) of the uniformed staff's safety equipment has not been inspected as required.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 8

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Internal	Office of Inspector	17
Affairs	General	
Inspected by: Sgt. J. I	Date:	
J. Penney, #15457	06/15/10	

 Currently, there is no consistent means of follow-up to assure timely replacement of required equipment for officers and sergeants.

Observations:

 Uniformed personnel at OIA do not typically wear their full duty belt, with Oleoresin Capsicum (OC), while in uniform and working within the office. Policy contained in Highway Patrol Manual 70.6, requires the carrying of the OC when performing enforcement duties. In the event uniformed personnel wear their uniform outside of the building, they wear their full duty belt and OC.

FIREARMS

Objective:

 Review of the ETRS as well as hard copy of records to ascertain if firearm training requirements are being maintained and quarterly policy review is being conducted for uniformed personnel as required. Additionally, determine if weapons training dates correspond to the activity information entered on employee's CHP 415, Daily Field Records by utilizing a random grab sample inspection of ten method.

Findings:

- One of 28 (4 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on pistol shoots as required for administrative positions.
- Twenty of 28 (71 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on shotgun shoots as required for administrative positions.
- Seventeen of 28 (61 percent) of the uniformed staff are not current on rifle shoots as required for administrative positions.
- Five of ten (50 percent) of uniformed staff's CHP 415, forms do not indicate the activity as "training" during weapons training exercises.

Observations:

- OIA shoots are scheduled and held at the Academy.
- OIA utilizes the Academy range inventory with regard to shotguns, rifles, or any less-thanlethal weapons.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 5 of 8

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Internal	Office of Inspector	17
Affairs	General	
Inspected by: Sgt. J. I	Linson, #16483, Officers	Date:
J. Penney, #15457	06/15/10	

- The Academy range meets all departmental requirements and is maintained by the Academy staff.
- OIA does not maintain any supply of ammunition. All ammunition is acquired from the Academy Weapons Unit.
- The building OIA occupies has one clearing tube that is utilized by its staff when loading and unloading their weapons.
- Once training is conducted, the OIA designated ETRS officer or sergeant updates the employee's training records for their respective units.
- OIA uniformed staff is current on primary weapon annual and field strip inspections.

PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST (PMA)

Objective:

 To ascertain the level of knowledge of PMA related policy and practical application of techniques through first hand observations.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

 Uniformed members of OIA have demonstrated their adequate understanding of Department policy regarding enforcement tactics through observations of the practical application of techniques and discussions of the associated guidelines.

ENFORCEMENT TACTICS

Objective:

 To ascertain the level of knowledge of policy regarding enforcement tactics through discussion with available OIA personnel.

Findings:

None.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 6 of 8

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Internal	Office of Inspector	17
Affairs	General	
Inspected by: Sgt. J.	Date:	
	and V Gonzalez, #13191	06/15/10

Observations:

 Uniformed members of OIA have demonstrated their adequate understanding of Department policy regarding enforcement tactics through discussions of these guidelines and through their diligent attendance of decentralized training where this topic is discussed.

PURSUITS

Objective:

• To evaluate the level of knowledge of policy regarding pursuits through discussion with available OIA personnel.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

 Uniformed members of OIA have demonstrated their adequate understanding of Department policy regarding pursuits through discussions of these guidelines and through their diligent attendance of decentralized training where this topic is discussed.

FORCIBLE STOPS

Objective:

 To evaluate the level of knowledge of policy regarding forcible stops through discussion with available OIA personnel.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

 Due to the fact OIA primarily performs administrative duties, personnel have not performed any forcible stops. Uniformed members of OIA have demonstrated their adequate understanding of Department policy regarding forcible stops through discussions of these guidelines and through their diligent attendance of decentralized training where this topic is discussed.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 7 of 8

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Office of Internal	Office of Inspector	17
Affairs	General	
Inspected by: Sgt. J. I	Date:	
J. Penney, #15457	06/15/10	

ROADBLOCKS:

Objective:

 To evaluate the level of knowledge of policy regarding roadblocks through discussion with available OIA personnel.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

 Due to the fact OIA primarily performs administrative duties personnel have not performed any roadblocks. Uniformed members of OIA have demonstrated their adequate understanding of Department policy regarding roadblocks through discussions of these guidelines and through their diligent attendance of decentralized training where this topic is discussed.

RADIO FAMILIARIZATION

Objective:

 To evaluate the level of knowledge of policy regarding radio familiarization through discussion with available OIA personnel.

Findings:

None.

Observations:

- Through discussion with uniformed personnel, they have demonstrated their understanding of policy regarding this practice.
- Uniformed personnel demonstrate their ability to utilize radio control heads while changing frequencies to correspond with the areas they are traveling through while driving and performing normal investigative duties.
- OIA uniformed personnel attended the mandated CHP Enhanced Radio System (CHPERS) training and attendance was entered into ETRS.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 8 of 8

Command: Office of Internal Affairs	Division: Office of Inspector General	Chapter:
	inson, #16483, Officers and V. Gonzalez, #13191	Date: 06/15/10

Discourse in the face of a OUD 54 M
Please provide response in the form of a CHP 51, Memorandum.
34.
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)
· ·
Required Action
Required Action Corrective Action Plan/Timeline
Required Action
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline Please provide response in the form of a CHP 51, Memorandum.
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline Please provide response in the form of a CHP 51, Memorandum. Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.
Required Action Corrective Action Plan/Timeline Please provide response in the form of a CHP 51, Memorandum. Description: De

Do not concur

Concur

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
006	005	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Sergeant Linson, Officers Penney/Gonzalez		06/15/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

Formal		mal Evaluation	SUBPENSE DATE	. 1		
FOLLOW-UP RE	QUIRED No	☐ Correction Report	CONMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE 172	ls.
1. COMMA	ND INVOLVEMENT		Yes	Yes Yes	CORRECTÉD	
	s the command emphas ence of injuries incurred	ize importance of proper enforcement by officers?	ent tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) I	Does the commander st	ress importance of proper enforcer	nent tactics, including us	e of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Does the safety record of	of the command reflect an awarene	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□ No
	Do the officers' CHP 100 safety?	and CHP 118s, Performance App	oraisals, contain commen	ts on officer	☐Yes	☑ No
		tenants knowledgeable of enforcen correct use of safety equipment?	nent tactics, physical met	hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) I	s this knowledge applied	d properly in critiques of incidents i	nvolving officers and ser	geants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) [Oo the captain and lieute	enants maintain a minimum level of	f enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(1	b) If they are not involv	red in officer safety, what are the re	easons?			
			Tarvin regime			
2. TRAININ	G AND CERTIFICATIO	N	Yes Yes	Yes	CORRECTED	Y
a. Do tra	aining records indicate f	ormal training has been received a	nd certified?		☐ Yes	✓ No
ta		I certification of traffic officers and sof arrest, and the proper use of saled for:				
(8	a) Searching technique	es.			☐ Yes	✓ No
(k) Handcuffing.				☐ Yes	✓ No
(0	c) Use of safety equipn	nent.			☐ Yes	✓ No
(0	d) Suspect control.				☐ Yes	✓ No
(€	e) High risk and felony	stops.			☐ Yes	√ No
(f) Hostage control.				☐ Yes	✓ No
(9) Prisoner transportati	on.			☐ Yes	✓ No
(h) Radio control head o	pperation.			✓ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(2)	ls t	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?			☐Yes	☑ No		
			(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	☐Yes	☑ No		
	b.			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, what level of force, is justified?	✓ Yes	□No		
			(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made?	✓ Yes	□No		
			(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	✓ Yes	□No		
	C.	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?	Yes	□No		
		(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No		
			(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	✓ Yes	□No		
			(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories?	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	d.	Doe	es the	command have an adequate number of instructors?	☐Yes	☑ No		
		(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?	☐Yes	✓ No		
		(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	☐Yes	☑ No		
	(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?(3) Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training?				☐ Yes	☑ No		
					✓ Yes	□No		
		(4) What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? All Officer Safety related hands on training i				s obtained via the		
	Academy Staff at the Academy. Officer Safety Training and certification is obtained annually and entered					RS system		
			imn	nediately.				
		(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?	☐ Yes	✓ N o		
3.	SA	FET	Y EC	UIPMENT EVALUATED YES ACTION REQUIRED YES	CORRECTED			
í	а.			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and below, duty, in uniform?	□Yes	☑ No		
	(1) Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC spray is utilized to subdue a subject?					□No		
	(2) When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?					□No		
		(3)		ndividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected area with clear water within 30 minutes?	✓ Yes	□No		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	_							
			(a)	Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?			✓ Yes	□ No	
	b.	Are	offi	cers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)		n officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	ne holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Са	n officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3)		here personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wated exercises?	eapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	C.	Are	offic	cers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
_	d.	Do	offic	ers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
-		(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?				✓ Yes	□No	
		(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?				✓ Yes	□No	
_	e.	Do	all u	niformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)		re required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, pe nor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrur		where body	✓ Yes	□No
			(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	I examination?		✓ Yes	□No
	f.			sters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hando ors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	☐ Yes	√ No
		(1)	Do	CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			☐Yes	☑ No
		(2)	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?				☐Yes	✓ No
4.	FII	REA	RMS	AND TRANSPORTED TO	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTED	
	a.	Has	the	requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	harge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do	officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
			(a)	Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Аге	sho	ots conducted as required by policy?			☐ Yes	✓ No
		(1)	Hav	ve steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(2)	Are	weapons training and maintenance records readily availa	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Do ·	training records show qualification with all authorized wea	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	C.	Doe	s the	e Area have a range officer?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(1)	Has	the officer completed Academy training for range officer	s?		☐ Yes	☑ No
		(2)	Doe	es the officer supervise all shoots?	13		☐ Yes	☑ No
		(3)	ls th	ne officer well-organized in his/her training?		•	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(4)	Is th	nere a designated alternate to the range officer?			☐ Yes	☑ No
			(a)	Has that officer received Academy training?	Ē		☐ Yes	✓ No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL 1

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_			
d.	. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
e.	. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	☐ Yes	☑ No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	✓ Yes	□No	
		✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	✓ Yes	□No	
	(6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?			□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h		here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? The Officers	e of Intern	al Affairs
	(O)	ie is not an	ticipated.	
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	✓ Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	D.
а	. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Punches.	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Strikes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Blocks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	✓ Yes	□No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	✓ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

7000	5 (NC4: 0-00) Of 1 003				
W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
(1	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	is standing, knee	ling, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
(2	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departments	al policy on hande	uffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?					□No
(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	wed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	rough searches be	en observed?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	e opposite sex as	outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
NFC	RCEMENT TACTICS	CORRECTED)		
		s which should be	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
		during enforcemen	nt stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	·		ers' ability to safely control	 Yes	□No
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			✓ Yes	□No
		gencies to prepare	beat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment o having jurisdiction?	f the incident until	relieved by the authority	 ✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)				✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determ hostage incidents?	nine their knowled	ge of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
IRS	JITS THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	Yes	No No	CORRECTED)
Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits	5?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
(3) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?					□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective active	ions taken?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) (2) (3) NFO Do of Do ap (1) (2) (3) VIRSI (4) (4) (2) (2)	Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made? (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departments. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens. (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie. (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thou. (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the foreast searches of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed when the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard properties. (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied assituations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment on having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a situation of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend egress to the scene, evacuate in policy regarding the expension of the scene of the scene of the policy regarding the expension of the scene of the policy regarding the expension of the scene of the policy regarding the expension of the pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of the pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of the pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of the pursuits of the pursuits of the pursuits of the pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of the pursui	Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made? (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, knee uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcure all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a precision of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a precision of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches be a precision of an enforcement of the opposite sex as a proceeding searches of the opposite sex as a proceeding from the proceeding searches of the opposite sex as a proceeding suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the office the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (2) Is the violator stop effectively made? (3) Is the violator completely controlled? (4) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? (5) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? (6) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? (7) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until having jurisdiction? (8) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (9) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical process in the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical process in the process and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge incidents? (9) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge incidents? (1) Number of units? (2) When to discontinue?	Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made? (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? **PORCEMENT TACTICS** NO Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? **RSUITS** ACTION REQUIRED Yes No No No No No No No N	Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made? (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (3) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (4) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (5) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (6) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (7) Yes (8) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (8) Yes NFORCEMENT TACTICS (8) VevaluateD ACTION REQUIRED NFORCEMENT TACTICS (9) ACTION REQUIRED NFORCEMENT TACTICS (1) Verse demonstration and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? (1) Officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (2) Yes (3) If the violator stop effectively made? (4) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator completely controlled? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? (a) Is the violator completely controlled? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? (a) Are officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (b) Vers (c) Are officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (a) Are officers wa

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	,				
	(1) Are any written agreements on file?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide	✓ Yes	□No		
8. F	ORCIBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops?				□No
	(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for complia	nce with policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with conducted?	, has corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. R	COADBLOCKS	Yes	No No	CORRECTED	
a.	. Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plan of the hollow spike strip?	s for establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outling	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper	methods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
10.	RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	D
a.	. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control he	✓ Yes	□No		
b.	. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from the	✓ Yes	□No		
C.	Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment	from the radio head?		√ Yes	□No

*Note: Offices assigned to the Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Inspector General (ACIG), function in an administrative capacity. For this reason, the Office of Internal Affairs (OIA), formerly known as the Office of Investigations (OINV), will not be conducting the second quarter Collisions, Enforcement and Services mandatory inspection. The Chapter 17, Officer Safety, self-inspection will be conducted by the designated ACIG inspection team for the first quarter of 2010.